To: Garrison, Geoffrey[Garrison.Geoffrey@epa.gov]
Cc: Compton, Harry[Compton.Harry@epa.gov]; Greenberg, Marc[Greenberg.Marc@epa.gov]; Mosher, Eric[Mosher.Eric@epa.gov]; Rotola, Joe[Rotola.Joe@epa.gov]; Jimenez, Christopher[Jimenez.Christopher@epa.gov]
From: Singhvi, Raj
Sent: Wed 5/13/2015 10:03:48 AM
Subject: Re: MB response status

The literature suggest that 8% methyl bromide absorbed in drywall . Any one knows how much methyl bromide was applied in lower unit J?

Sent from my iPhone

On May 13, 2015, at 5:59 AM, Singhvi, Raj <Singhvi.Raj@epa.gov> wrote:

>> On May 12 , the team continued venting the lower unit J . An additional high volume fan (total three exhaust fans) was added to remove the contaminant of concern . A carbon absorber unit recently purchased was placed in the utility room to remove volatile organics . The head space air sample from drywall was collected using specialized set up for twenty four hours using Summa. Air sample was collected in the ceiling by making a small hole in the Summa (grab sample) . The two Summa samples will be shipped by fed ex on May 13, 2015 to ERT contractor lab in Edison for the methyl bromide analysis.
>>
>> Plan for May 13
>> 1 A piece of drywall will be removed from the kitchen and placed in a sealed jar for methyl bromide analysis . (the team has permission from the Sirenusa manger)
>> 2. The second carbon absorber unit will be placed in the kitchen area.
>> 3. A grab summa sample will be collected late afternoon (window closed , fans off , carbon absorber unit off) to determine the effectiveness of two days of venting .
>> 4. The summa will be fed ex to Edison lab for analysis .
>> 5. All windows will be opened , fans on, carbon absorber unit on . Venting continues .
>>
>> This email is sent using iPhone , please ignore typo
>> Sent from my iPad